

KIT SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHING PEER REVIEW PROCESS

As an academic publisher, KIT Scientific Publishing attaches particular importance to the high quality of the scientific works it publishes. All publications by KIT Scientific Publishing therefore must have undergone a thorough peer review process. Since KIT Scientific Publishing exclusively publishes titles from researchers affiliated to Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) the peer review of our publications is executed by the academic community which also is in charge of assigning external reviewers. KIT Scientific Publishing reserves the right to reject publication proposals from authors who are not affiliated to KIT and where the quality of the publication can therefore not be assured. All KIT affiliate researchers pledge to adhere to the KIT rules for safeguarding good scientific practice (see <http://www.kit.edu/kit/english/14938.php>). The rules state that reviewers of scientific work shall use a transparent evaluation system and retain their independence as examiner/s. This shall also include unprejudiced evaluation. Further, scientific publications shall- completely and reproducibly describe the results

- completely and correctly document own preceding work and preceding work of others by quotes and references, and
- repeat previously published results in a clearly marked form and to the extent required for understanding the context only.

Authors of scientific publications shall always assume joint responsibility for the contents. Author only shall be a person, who has made a major contribution to a scientific publication. A so-called "honorary authorship" shall be excluded. Neither the position of Head of Institute and superior nor the position of former superior alone shall justify co-authorship. This means that authors of a scientific original publication shall be all those, and only those, who significantly contributed to the conception of the studies or experiments, to the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, and to the formulation of the manuscript and who approved of its publication, i.e. persons who share the responsibility for this publication. The authors shall jointly ensure that no co-author was ignored and that all authors have approved of the version of the publication submitted.

For doctoral theses, apart from the author, the supervisors shall also be responsible for compliance with the rules for safeguarding good scientific practice in use at KIT. The supervisors give the author their comments and feedback after a first review. The author then has a chance to defend or agree with any criticisms or suggestions made, and, if necessary, make revisions to the original submission. KIT Scientific Publishing will only consider the publication of the thesis after acceptance of the manuscript by the supervisors.

For KIT Scientific Publishing titles appearing in book series, the editors select which titles fulfill the series quality requirements and thus may appear in the book series.

For the "Journal of New Frontiers in Spatial Concepts", the official e-only journal of KIT Scientific Publishing, articles are only published after peer review by an international editorial board (see <http://ejournal.uvka.de/spatialconcepts/editorial-board/editorial-staff>).

Karlsruhe, June 2016